

# Copie anonyme - n°anonymat : 278598

 P6-000065 278598 LVE	Filière : B/L	Session : 2023
	Épreuve de : LVE ANGLAIS	
Consignes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Remplir soigneusement l'en-tête de chaque feuille avant de commencer à composer</li><li>• Rédiger avec un stylo non effaçable bleu ou noir</li><li>• Ne rien écrire dans les marges (gauche et droite)</li><li>• Numérotter chaque page (cadre en bas à droite)</li><li>• Placer les feuilles A3 ouvertes, dans le même sens et dans l'ordre</li></ul>	

David Goodhart lays the emphasis on the nominal distinction between "Anywhere" and "Somewhere" in the United Kingdom. With this noticeable contrast, he demonstrates that citizenship and identity are largely influenced by social conditions and are therefore a subjective notion.

From an academic standpoint, Rieko Karatani in Defining British Citizenship ponders over the notion of British citizenship and its evolutions over the course of history. He dwells on the fact that a finer-grained analysis of "citizenship" is predicated on the time and place of its use. Citizenship is a place and time sensitive notion. His reflection may be used as a guideline as he defines three substantial components of citizenship: "citizenship - as - status", "as - derivative - activity", "as - social - enclosure". They are designed historically to divide populations between citizens and non-citizens. His analysis played out in 2003, two years before London's attacks and in a time of large reflection on the notion of national identity and British citizenship.

In the USA, the second document is an excerpt from Susan B. Anthony trial statement. She was arrested for voting in the 1872 elections when women did not have the right to vote - they <sup>have</sup> obtained it in 1920 with the 19th Amendment. In her dialogue with Judge Hunt during her trial, she advocates the right for women to be considered as a full citizen. Indeed by an enumeration, she asserts that the denial of her citizen's right to vote is the denial "of her right of consent", "of representation", "to a trial", "my sacred rights...". She challenges the social order and questions the notion of identity. She exposes thus a society where laws are entirely ruled by men and for men. Thus, citizenship is ~~also~~ intertwined with social mobilization to redefine it. It is what is at stake in the excerpt of the People's Charter from the Working Men's Association to the Radical Reformers of Great Britain and Ireland. Released in 1838, this charter took place in the wake of social unrest and turmoil. The working ~~the~~ class was begging for a true representation in politics and society and for more rights. This movement was then nicknamed "Chartism". Moreover, in the People's Charter, the authors demonstrate that citizenship is inherently linked with a fair representation of the people in

all political institutions. they ~~do~~ make a hint several times at the notion of "enlightenment" to show that the exercise of political power has to stem from "all the people" and not "the selfish government of the few". their claim for a large enfranchisement - the first was in 1832 - in order to fully exercise their citizenship. the notion of mobilization is at the core of Martin Luther King Jr's Address delivered at a meeting Launching the SCLC Crusade for Citizenship in 1958. this speech played out in a large context of racial inequalities and discriminations, 4 years after Brown v. Board of Education, ending the "separate but equal" philosophy and before Johnson's policies with the Civil and Voting Rights Act (1964 / 1965). In his address, he calls for the right to vote for "Negro American" and exposes the hypocrisy of American officials. they advocated free elections in Europe in a context of Cold War while they didn't give it to Negro Americans who fulfill all criteria of ~~a~~ formal citizens~~s~~. He thus calls for the mobilization of Negro Americans to obtain by hook or by crook their "birthrights". Eventually, the Prime Minister in office Gordon Brown gave a speech at a seminar on Britishness in 2007. In the wake of London's attacks, British identity and citizenship was largely ~~not~~ challenged, especially after Tony Blair's speech "Our Nation's Future". Gordon Brown puts forward the defining features of "Britishness". this concept is according to him

predicated on "a unique history" following a "golden thread" marked "British tolerance", "belief in liberty". A kind of British exceptionalism seems to fuel his speech. This speech shows that citizenship is directly linked with the definition of <sup>national</sup> identity and be used as a political tool to gather and unite people. The last sentence reveals his definition of British citizenship: "a contract between the citizen and the country".

thus, ~~the~~ all the documents muse on the key notion of ~~Bri~~ citizenship in both British and American societies, evolving through specific policies and the various historical ~~conse~~ contexts. thus, my contention is to shed a light on the fact that citizenship is a protean and multifaceted concept which is inherently intertwined with <sup>national</sup> identity and ~~is~~ some social and political conceptions.

Firstly, I will show that citizenship is a time and place sensitive notion laying on a social construct. Secondly, citizenship is deeply intertwined with political and social conceptions and struggles. Eventually, this multifaceted concept may ~~be used as~~ fuel national narratives and be used to gather or separate people.

\*

Firstly, citizenship is a protean notion, which is time and place sensitive, laying on a social construct. It evolves in accordance with the

# Copie anonyme - n°anonymat : 278598

Emplacement QR Code	Filière : B/L	Session : 2023
	Épreuve de : ANGLOIS UE	
Consignes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Remplir soigneusement l'en-tête de chaque feuille avant de commencer à composer</li><li>• Rédiger avec un stylo non effaçable bleu ou noir</li><li>• Ne rien écrire dans les marges (gauche et droite)</li><li>• Numérotter chaque page (cadre en bas à droite)</li><li>• Placer les feuilles A3 ouvertes, dans le même sens et dans l'ordre</li></ul>	

goals of each actor and its mean.

First, citizenship is predicated on social construct. This analysis is the one considered by the British historian Eric Hobsbawm whom he asserts that national identity and citizenship are "social engineered constructs". Thus, the definitions of citizenship given in the various documents seem to lay on subjective principles. What prevails in all the definitions is based more on theory and ~~on~~ practice. Indeed, Reiko Karatani offers a guideline to analyse the evolving concept of citizenship. He puts forward three defining features : a "nominal" citizenship, which acts as a "status" and is deeply entrenched in history ~~-~~ical evolutions, a "substantive" citizenship giving an equal set of rights to all citizens and a "functional" citizenship ~~form~~ composing the role of integration ~~and~~, "inclusion" and "exclusion". This terminology dwells on the fact that citizenship and especially national citizenship are always relying on formal and subjective features, which are not always all fulfilled.

Gordown Brown makes a straightforward link between national identity and ~~national~~ citizenship. thus, he compares ~~national~~<sup>British</sup> citizenship to "a kind of contract between the citizen and the country" (l. 34). this definition is redolent of the one given by Ernest Renan in his address <sup>at</sup> La Sorbonne in 1882. He advocates that what prevails in citizenship was not to speak the same language or to have the same blood, but to ~~make~~ realize and accomplish things together. thus, these definitions of citizenship link the citizen and the nation by rights and duties, but they are largely based on ideals which stem from a social construct.

Indeed,

Indeed, citizenship seems to be a time and place sensitive notion. Rikko Karatani reminds several time that the concept is "an evolving concept" (l. 41), "their meanings differ from each ~~the~~ other, depending on the time and place of their use". As a prime example of this conception, he considers the term "subject" which was for a long time preferred to "citizen" and became obsolete with the British Nationality Act. Indeed, the boundaries of citizenship are always self-redefining by the influence of legislation. A contrast is for instance relevant between the British National Act from 1948 which allowed

an open-door policy<sup>7</sup>, by easily granting the citizenship and ~~the one~~ the British citizenship of 1971 defining the concept of "national"<sup>8</sup> and curtailing dramatically the access to citizenship. Then, ~~citizenship~~ the boundaries of citizenship are blurred because the members considered as citizens evolve in time. Many people felt as "second-class citizens". In her trial, Mrs Anthony asserts that she was "robbed of the fundamental privilege of citizenship"<sup>9</sup>, (l. 4), representing thus all her gender, as women didn't have the right to vote. Less than a century later, the concept of citizenship had evolved including women with the 19th Amendment to the Constitution giving them the right to vote.

Moreover, <sup>"Negro"</sup> ~~Afro~~-Americans<sup>10</sup> were also submitted to a changing inclusion in the citizenship as the 13th, 14th and 15th amendments tended to give them the same rights as a white citizen<sup>11</sup>. However, the Jim Crow Laws from 1877 rejected and outlaw them. Hence the staunch advocacy of Martin Luther King: "a long and tireless effort to broaden and to increase the franchise of American citizens" (l. 1). Thus, citizenship is a place and time sensitive concept, constantly self-redefining by legislation.

Eventually, citizenship is a protean notion as it depends of whose voice is heard and the focus of each actor. Citizenship was largely studied from an historical perspective. However, Karatani asserts that its definition depends on "the focus of

each piece of research<sup>7</sup> (l. 42). In an French perspective, Vincent Viet in Histoire des français venus d'ailleurs asserts that a correlation between the definition given to citizenship and the political and ~~economic~~ economic context. If trade is dwindling, the conception of citizenship will be more predicated on the notion of exclusion. Hence, citizenship also depends on whose voice and story are heard. Indeed, from the standpoints of the Chartists, Susan B. Anthony or Martin Luther King, the citizenship largely induces the notion of civil representation through the right of vote, ~~the extension of~~ extending legislation to the people at large<sup>8</sup> (l. 16 + document 3), the same rights regardless of gender, wealth, colour. Quite at the opposite, the definition given by the selfish government of the few<sup>9</sup> (l. 13) doesn't pay attention to matters such as gender, wealth... Thus, citizenship is largely performative and depends on whether the regard is bottom-up or not.

\*

\*

\* thus, citizenship is<sup>a</sup> social construct, utterly time and place sensitive, with blurring boundaries due to changing policies and ~~at~~ standpoints. Secondly, citizenship is deeply intertwined with political and social conceptions and struggles \*

# Copie anonyme - n°anonymat : 278598

Emplacement QR Code	Filière : B/L	Session : 2023
	Épreuve de : ANGLAIS LVE	
Consignes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Remplir soigneusement l'en-tête de chaque feuille avant de commencer à composer</li><li>• Rédiger avec un stylo non effaçable bleu ou noir</li><li>• Ne rien écrire dans les marges (gauche et droite)</li><li>• Numérotter chaque page (cadre en bas à droite)</li><li>• Placer les feuilles A3 ouvertes, dans le même sens et dans l'ordre</li></ul>	

~~The question~~ Citizenship seems to foster power  
struggled, largely entangled with some political conceptions  
and inducing the questions of gender and race.

Citizenship fosters mobilization and struggles due to the discriminations and inequalities that it entails. Indeed, Keiko Karatani shows that the conception of citizenship was after American independence a prickly and conflicting issue. He asserts that the very concept of "subjecthood" known a backlash with the American revolution, the 13 American colonies considered the status of British subject "was imposed on them at their birth" (l. 27). He then argues that "American concept of citizenship [...] was based on an act of individual choice". This quote may consider the difference between British and American conception of citizenship. Citizenship seems thus to be an issue at the core of American revolution in order to practice their own definition leading to the Treaty of Paris in 1783. Thus, conception of citizenship is a source of mobilization. This analysis is also present in the words of Martin Luther

king or the chartists. Indeed, he uses the far-reaching example of the ~~most~~ women's demonstrations to obtain the right to vote. He thus asserts : "From ~~us~~ there women we have learned a great lesson of how social change takes place through struggle" (l. 18). Citizenship implies thus the consideration of ~~the~~ value of each individual and is never granted. It has to be conquered by ~~power~~ struggle or mobilization. This ~~appro~~-analysis is at the core of ~~the~~ Chartism through <sup>its</sup> ~~their~~ demonstrations.

~

Citizenship implies the question of the value of each individual and reflects how society perceives itself. This question of the value and consideration of each individual is intertwined this gender and race. Susan B. Anthony uses a vocabulary that demonstrates that her individual value has been trampled : "robbed" (l. 4), "degraded" (l. 4), "subjection" (l. 6), "denial of my right" (l. 10). She refers to the Declaration of Independence : "the denial of my sacred rights to life, liberty" (l. 13). Indeed, it asserts that "all men are created equal" and endowed by the creator from specific rights among them : life, liberty and the pursuit and the pursuit of happiness. Thus, she exposes the paradoxal ~~feature~~ attitude

from the law and the court. She also exposes the social order, interpreted by men and for men which denies the value of women as individuals. thus, citizenship raises the question of the consideration of the each individual and stirs up conflicting mobilizations. for the women, the issue of citizenship fuelled the fierce debate between Betty Friedan and Phyllis Schlafly. Martin Luther King also ~~den~~ exposes the denial of this individuality and the inequalities sparked by the definition of citizenship's boundaries. ~~He challenges the~~  
As Mrs Anthony, he uses the term "robbed" (l. 35).  
~~He~~ exposes the hypocrisy of American officials as they advocated free elections in Europe in a context of Cold War for their own interests whereas they realized all the duties expected from a citizen but still not have the right to vote. It may echo the book of Lemhi Coffin Margaret Garner: Defying the fugitive Act in a historical perspective who preferred kill her children to prevent them from the ignorance of liberty. the individual liberty is still at ~~core~~ <sup>snake</sup> in Mr. King's address

~

thus, citizenship fosters mobilization due to the inequalities that it marks. Moreover, citizenship relies on a ~~special~~ specific conception with politics. the debate over citizenship and the rights and duties that the notion induces seems to remind the debate between Thomas Paine (Our Common Sense) and Edmund Burke (Reflections on the Revolution in France). Thomas Paine advocates that citizenship implies

the right for people to be endowed with specific rights among them more political representation. thus, the authors of the People's charter present themselves as advocates of "self government". they underline that "political power has to be for the faithful and accurate representation of the people's wishes, feelings, and interests" (l. 27). By claiming the right to have an equal representation of people in common's house, to have the right to vote, they define citizenship as associated with a ~~specific~~ special political conception: that everyone ~~is~~ was ~~not~~ equally represented regardless of ~~their~~ wealth. the right to vote seems at the core of the notion of citizenship according to documents 2, 3, 4. More generally, the political system by large is at stake in the notion of citizenship as Gordon Brown often refers to the "golden thread" (l. 18) that forms parliamentary Monarchy referring to magna carta (1215) and the bill of rights (1689). thus, citizenship is embedded in a mutual conception of ~~of~~ the political system which frames its definition.

\*

\*

thus, citizenship is intertwined with political and social conventions, that ~~can~~ may foster social struggles in order to reshuffle the individual identity. Eventually, citizenship may fuel national narratives and be used as a tool to gather or separate people.

\*

\*

\*

# Copie anonyme - n°anonymat : 278598

Emplacement QR Code	Filière : <b>2015</b>	Session : <b>2013</b>
	Épreuve de : <b>WE ANGLAIS</b>	
	Consignes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Remplir soigneusement l'en-tête de chaque feuille avant de commencer à composer</li><li>• Rédiger avec un stylo non effaçable bleu ou noir</li><li>• Ne rien écrire dans les marges (gauche et droite)</li><li>• Numérotter chaque page (cadre en bas à droite)</li><li>• Placer les feuilles A3 ouvertes, dans le même sens et dans l'ordre</li></ul>

~~First~~, citizenship may act as a tool to fuel national narratives, unite or exclude people or and finally reflects the identity of a nation.

Citizenship fosters the creation of national narratives and may act as a political tool.

Indeed, Edward Said in Culture and Imperialism asserts that "nation themselves are always narrations".

Therefore, the hint ~~at~~ citizenship in political speeches may often stem from a political use. As far-reaching examples, the "Rivers of Blood" speech<sup>1</sup> from Enoch Powell (1968) or the campaign<sup>2</sup> of Margaret Thatcher in 1979 ("Britain, being swamped by an alien culture") directly used citizenship as a tool to draw national narratives and ~~to~~ in a political aim.

This rhetorical devices seem to be littered in Gordon Brown's speech. Indeed, he promotes a kind of exceptionalism in British identity and citizenship: "Britain has a unique

story" (l. 4), "British wins of fair play", "golden thread" (l. 8), "a strong sense of being British" (l. 22)

This speech just took place after the London's attacks a time when British identity and citizenship was largely challenged and some linked immigration to history. Thus, he deals with the question of integration <sup>to influence</sup> ~~in~~ public opinion by framing a national narrative, ~~to~~ putting forward a teleological vision of British history. His speech as "Our Nation's Future" from Tony Blair meets a political aim and fuels a neoclassic vision of history.

N

Then, citizenship is also a tool used in order to gather or separate people. R. Karati'ni asserts that: "citizenship has historically been used as an instrument to divide populations between citizens and non citizens". (l.72) It echoes thus the third definition that he gives of "functional citizenship": thus, citizenship is used to ~~not~~ determine who ~~belong~~ ~~believe~~ to a certain nation or not. Thus, the fact that women and African Americans were not totally citizen was a mean to isolate them ~~of~~ from the rest of the society and to install a social hierarchy, forming a system in which people are ranked one above the other according to the <sup>possession of</sup> citizenship. Thus, the boundaries of citizenship underscores how the

society perceived itself as horizontal or vertical.

However, even when immigrants for instance are granted citizenship, they may find rejection.

Indeed, the Macpherson and Turner Reports in both American and British shed a light on an institutionalized racism, justified by the definition of citizenship.

thus, there people may feel as "second-class citizens".

Indeed, the sociologist Alejandro Portes uses the term "shattered assimilation" to demonstrate

that even if people in a given society became citizen, it does not automatically lead to the conclusion that they are fully integrated. They

may know a downward mobility because they don't share the same culture <sup>that</sup> of the one of the given society and thus know potential social exclusion. Thus, citizenship is what gathers <sup>s</sup> divides people.

\*

Eventually, citizenship, this multifaceted notion is what cement and strengthen personal and collective identity. Karatani in the first sentence of his text asserts that "in our everyday language, we use the words "citizen" and "national" almost interchangeably". (l. 1). Thus, citizenship seems to be a substantial component of personal and national identity. Indeed, the struggles led by Min Anthon, for the woman, Chartres, or Martin Luther King show that they granted a large importance <sup>to</sup> citizenship as a defining feature of their individual value or the national one. Gordon Brown tackles the

notion of "Britishness", which stems from an "exceptional" identity of Great Britain. However, he proposes here to redraw this notion of British identity. Indeed, he embodies the will to consider identity and its place over the world stage. Indeed, he asserts: "there is now so much mobility between nations and countries" (l.33) and dwells on the fact that British citizenship is intertwined with identity and not just a formal right. He ~~thus~~ is redundant of the definition given by Ernest Renan by saying that British citizenship is "a contract between the citizen and the country" but aimed at "enhancing] the British way of life" (l.36). His speech thus follows the analysis of the historian William Rubinstein. He asserts that "identity is framed by common values among them: preservation of parliamentary monarchy, or a sense of complacency and superiority". Thus, the notion of citizenship frames and fuels national and personal identity tending to become synonymous.

de                      \*                      \*

thus, citizenship is a protean and multifaceted notion which is framed by policies, political national narratives, social struggles. Its boundaries are in perpetual redefinition due to conjectural events. Citizenship sheds a light and defines national and individual identity and contributes to the place and value given to an individual ~~as~~ in a given society - as women and racial struggles. It reflects how a society perceived itself through a social, cultural perspective. Finally, citizenship was recently by the decision of P. Prattel to outlaw ~~#~~ illegal immigrants on the African continent, curtailing thus British tolerance.